

**IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF TEXAS
MARSHALL DIVISION**

WI-LAN INC.,

Plaintiff,

v.

APPLE, INC.

Defendant.

§
§
§
§
§
§
§
§
§
§
§

Civil Action No. 2:11-CV-68-JRG
(LEAD CASE)

Civil Action No. 2:12-CV-600-JRG
(Consolidated Case)

JURY TRIAL REQUESTED

VERDICT FORM

In answering these questions, you are to follow all of the instructions I have given you in the Court's charge. As used herein, "Wi-LAN" means Wi-LAN Inc. As used herein, "Apple" means Apple, Inc.

Question No. 1:

Did Wi-LAN prove by a preponderance of the evidence that Apple infringed the following asserted claims of the '802 Patent?

Answer YES or NO.

'802 Patent Claims

Claim 1 NO *Michael Garvin*

Claim 10 NO *Michael Garvin*

Question No. 2:

ANSWER QUESTION NO. 2 IF YOU ANSWERED “YES” FOR ANY OF THE CLAIMS LISTED IN QUESTION NO. 1; OTHERWISE, DO NOT ANSWER QUESTION NO. 2 AND PROCEED TO ANSWER QUESTION 3.

Did Wi-LAN prove by clear and convincing evidence that Apple’s infringement was willful?

Answer YES or NO.

Question No. 3:

Do you find that Apple has proven by clear and convincing evidence that any of the following claims are invalid?

Answer YES or NO.

'802 Patent Claims

Claim 1 YES

Michael Q

Claim 10 YES

Michael Q

Question No. 4:

ANSWER QUESTION NO. 4 IF YOU HAVE FOUND AT LEAST ONE CLAIM LISTED IN QUESTION NO. 1 INFRINGED AND NOT INVALID; OTHERWISE, DO NOT ANSWER QUESTION NO. 4.

What sum of money if paid now in cash do you find from a preponderance of the evidence would fairly and reasonably compensate Wi-LAN for Apple's infringement?

\$ -0-

Signed this 23 day of OCTOBER, 2013.